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Plotting a vector in space

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
5 \\
2
\end{array}\right] \quad x_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
4
\end{array}\right] \\
& x_{1}+x_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
6 \\
6
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$


podding two vectors: putting two vectors heal to tail in a graphical sense is equivalent to adding vectors in an arithmetic sense.

$$
x_{1}-x_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
4 \\
-2
\end{array}\right]
$$

Subtracting two vectors
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Vector Spaces
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Any point in the space of $k$ linearly independent column vectors $X_{n \times k}$ can be expressed as a combination of the column vectors in $X$.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{R ^ { 2 }} \\
& 4 \vec{x}+2 \vec{y} \\
& x=\left[\begin{array}{l}
3 \\
2
\end{array}\right] \quad y=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
4
\end{array}\right] \\
& a x+b y=\left[\begin{array}{l}
4 \\
2
\end{array}\right] \Rightarrow \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
3 \\
2
\end{array} 1^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
3 & 1 \\
2 & 4
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
a \\
b
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
3 & 1 \\
2 & 4
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
4 \\
2
\end{array}\right]\right.} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
a \\
b
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
3 & 1 \\
2 & 4
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
4 \\
2
\end{array}\right]} \\
& \lceil a\rceil-\lceil 1.4\rceil
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left[\begin{array}{l}a \\ b\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}1.4 \\ -0.2\end{array}\right]$

The graphical connection to OLS Regression
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In a linear regression, the columns of $X_{n \times k}$ form a space in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{k}}$. This space is a subspace of the n -dimensional space defined by observations.

obs 2

Now, it should be clear why we need $n>k$ in order to run a regression!


We know that "something" is done to the $y$ variable using the $X$ matrix to produce predictions $\dot{y} \ldots$

$$
\hat{y}=x \hat{\beta}
$$

$$
\hat{\beta}=\left(x^{\prime} x\right)^{-1} x^{\prime} y
$$

$$
\hat{y}=x\left(x^{\prime} x\right)^{-1} x^{\prime} y
$$

...and we know that $\dot{y}+\dot{u}=y$, so that by vector addition these two must form some kind of triangle in vector space.

$$
\hat{y}+\hat{u}=y
$$

$$
\text { obs } 2
$$



Furthermore, we know that $y$ and $u$ must be at right angles to each other... why?
if $\hat{y}$ and $\hat{u}$ are not perpendicular then $\hat{u}^{\prime}$ 's length is not minimized. But we now onus determines $\hat{\beta} / \hat{y}$ that moults in minimized length $\bar{f} \hat{u}$

Linear regression represents a "projection" of the $y$-vector onto the space defined by $X_{n \times k}$. Let's see this graphically.


We can also decompose the operations of regression mathematically to get a sense of what is going on here.

This final result is called the "projection matrix" $P_{X}$.

## Orthogonality
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$X \beta$ and $\tilde{u}$ are orthogonal. By "orthogonal" we mean...

1. $\angle X \beta u=90^{\circ}$
2. $u$ cannot be represented by vectors in $X$
3. $X\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1} X^{\prime} u=0$ (there is no projection of $u$ onto $X$ )
4. A regression of $X$ on $\ddot{u} \rightarrow \beta_{\mathrm{u}}=0$.

$$
P_{x} \hat{u}=0 .
$$

These are all properties of the ESTIMATES, not of the data generating process or the real world. It is not necessarily true that $X \beta$ and $u$ are orthogonal! ANY regression of $y$ on $u$ will yield these results, no matter what the data generating process is.

All of the points we've just made hold in $>2$ dimensional space, of course (which is good--most data sets have more than two observations!). They're just hard to draw.

$\Delta=\sqrt{a^{2}+(X \beta-\sqrt{3})^{2}}$



Variance decomposition and the sum of squares
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Recall: $X\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1} X^{\prime}=$ projection matrix $=P_{X}$ projects any $n \times 1$ vector onto the space defined by $X$. There is an equivalent "residual matrix" $M_{X}$.


$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu_{x} y=\hat{u} \quad M_{x y}+P_{x} y=y \\
\hat{u}+\hat{y}=y
\end{gathered}
$$

The Pythagorean theorem says that $\|X \beta\|^{2}+\|\tilde{u}\|^{2}=\|y\|^{2}$.

- Side note: what is $\|\cdot\|$ ?

$$
\|\cdot\|=\text { "euclidean norm": length of a vector. }
$$



This fact gives us some information about the degree to which $X$ can explain the variance of $y$.

$$
\|y\|^{2}=\|x \hat{\beta}\|^{2}+\|\hat{u}\|^{2}
$$



$$
100=
$$

if $y$ has $\mu_{y}=0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { as } \mu_{y}=0 \text {, then } \\
& \operatorname{var}(y)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i} \mu_{y}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{2}=y^{\prime} y
\end{aligned}
$$

$R^{2}=\frac{E S S}{T S S}: \quad \begin{array}{r}\text { Proportion of } \\ \text { variation in }\end{array}$ variation in $y$

$$
R^{2} \in[0,1]
$$

that is explained by $x$.

Facts about projection matrices
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$M_{X}$ is idempotent: $M_{X} M_{X}=M_{X}$. Why is this true intuitively? (Prove for homework!)
$M_{X} P_{X}=0=P_{X} M_{X}$. Why is this true intuitively? (Prove for homework!)

$$
\text { Pay: portion of } y \text { representable in } x \text {. }
$$

$O=M_{x} P_{x} y$ : what portion of the above is Nor representable in $X$ ?

$M_{X}=M_{X}^{\prime}$ and $P_{X}=P_{X}^{\prime}$. Let's prove this one formally to give you an example for your homework.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{x}= P_{x}^{\prime} \\
& \underline{x\left(x^{\prime} x\right)^{-1} x^{\prime}}=\left[\frac{x\left(x^{\prime} x\right)^{-1}}{A} \frac{x^{\prime}}{B}\right]^{\prime} \quad(A B)^{\prime}=B^{\prime} A^{\prime} \\
&=x\left(\frac{x\left(x^{\prime} x\right)^{-1}}{B}\right)^{\prime} \\
&=x\left(\left(\left(x^{\prime} x\right)^{-1}\right)^{\prime} x^{\prime}\right) \quad\left(A^{-1}\right)^{\prime}=\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \\
&=x\left(\left[\left(x^{\prime} x\right)^{\prime}\right]^{-1} x^{\prime}\right)=\underline{x\left(x^{\prime} x\right)^{-1} x^{\prime}} \\
& \text { Ejector matrix } \\
& \text { for } x_{1}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

P: projector matrix

Consider $X=\left[\begin{array}{ll}X_{1} & X_{2}\end{array}\right]$. We can show that $P_{1} P_{x}=P_{X} P_{1}=P_{1}$ and $M_{1} M_{X}=M_{X} M_{1}=M_{X}$.

$$
x=\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{llll}
x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & \cdots
\end{array} x_{k}\right.}_{\left(x_{1}\right.} \underbrace{l}_{x_{2}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1} & x_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{x} P_{1}=P_{1} P_{x}=P_{1} \\
& P_{x} x_{1}\left(x_{1}^{\prime} x_{1}\right)^{-1} x_{1}^{\prime} \quad P_{x} x_{1}=x_{1} \\
& \\
& x_{1}\left(x_{1}^{\prime} x_{1}\right)^{-1} x_{1}^{\prime}=P_{1} \\
& x_{1}\left(x_{1}^{\prime} x_{1}\right)^{-1} x_{1}^{\prime} P_{x} \\
& U
\end{aligned}
$$
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Consider two regressions:

1) $y=X \beta+u$
2) $M_{1} y=M_{1} X_{2} \beta_{2}+$ residuals
where $X=\left[\begin{array}{ll}X_{1} & X_{2}\end{array}\right]$ (a partitioned matrix of variables) and $\beta=\left|\begin{array}{l}\beta_{1} \\ \beta_{2}\end{array}\right|$.
The Frisch-Waugh-Lovell (FWL) theorem says that the estimates of $\beta_{2}$ are the same for regressions (1) and (2).
Proof:


$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\beta}_{2} & =\left[\left(M_{1} x_{2}\right)^{\prime} M_{1} x_{2}\right]^{-1}\left(M_{1} x_{2}\right) M_{1} y \\
& =\left[x_{2}^{\prime} M_{1}^{\prime} M_{1} x_{2}\right]^{-1} x_{2}^{\prime} M_{1}^{\prime} M_{1} y \\
& =\left[x_{2}^{\prime} M_{1} M_{1} x_{2}\right]^{-1} x_{2}^{\prime} \mu_{1} \mu_{1} y \\
\hat{\beta_{2}} & =\left[x_{2}^{\prime} \mu_{1} x_{2}\right]^{-1} x_{2}^{\prime} M_{1} y \\
\hat{\operatorname{lm}(y \sim x) \cdot} & \hat{y}+\hat{u} \\
y & =P_{x} y+M_{x} y
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= x_{1} \hat{\beta}_{1}+x_{2} \hat{\beta}_{2}+\mu_{x} y \\
& \text { premutipind } x_{2}^{\prime} M_{1} y= x_{2}^{\prime} M_{1} x_{1} \hat{\beta}_{1}+x_{2}^{\prime} M_{1} x_{2} \\
& x_{2}^{\prime} M_{2}^{\prime} M_{1} M_{x} y \\
& x_{2}^{\prime} M_{1} \\
& x_{2}^{\prime} M_{1} y= x_{2}^{\prime} M_{1} x_{2} \hat{\beta}_{2}+\#_{2}^{\prime} M_{x} y \\
&\left(x_{2}^{\prime} M_{1} x_{2}\right)^{-1} x_{2}^{\prime} M_{1} y=\hat{\beta}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Uses of the FWL Theorem
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1) Scatterplots can be created that correct for spurious correlation. This is a great way to give a visually compelling but statistically honest reporting of the relationship between two variables. (Show example in R.)
2) Standardizing variables (e.g., by centering them around their mean) does not change our estimates of $\hat{\beta}$.


$$
\mu_{y}=\operatorname{lm}(y \sim 1) \quad i=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$


3) Influential observations analysis.

